



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/525,955	03/31/2006	Bernd Rehm	3652-50	3076
23117 7590 10/31/2008 NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC 901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22203				
EXAMINER MEAH, MOHAMMAD Y				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1652				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
10/31/2008		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

### Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/525,955

**Applicant(s)**

REHM, BERND

**Examiner**

MD. YOUNUS MEAH

**Art Unit**

1652

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) MD. YOUNUS MEAH.(3) Leonard C. Mitchard.(2) Nashaat. T. Nashed.(4) A. J. Park.Date of Interview: 10/23/08.Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conferencec) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.If Yes, brief description: proposed amended claims set.Claim(s) discussed: 59,60 and 72-80.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: During the interview examiners and applicants representatives discussed about 112 rejection and 103 prior art rejection. Examiners have suggested to amend the scope of some of the claims to overcome 112 rejection. Examiner suggested that the prior 103 prior art rejection will be withdrawn. If after further search if no prior art will be found and applicants amend the claims to overcome the 112 rejection elected claims will be considered for allowance.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Nashaat T. Nashed/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1652